arXiv:1402.1900v4 [math.AG] 19 Aug 2015

ON SHIMURA SUBVARIETIES GENERATED BY FAMILIES OF ABELIAN COVERS OF $\mathbb{P}^1$

Abolfazl Mohajer, Kang Zuo

February 2014

Abstract

We study the locus of Jacobians of abelian Galois covers of $\mathbb{P}^1$ in A_g. We find examples of Shimura (special) subvarieties generated by families of these covers in the Torelli locus T_g inside A_g and give classifications of such families giving rise to Shimura subvarieties in T_g. Our methods are based on Moonen-Oort works as well as characteristic p techniques of Dwork and Ogus and Monodromy computations.

1 Introduction

Let M_g be the (coarse) moduli space of non-singular complex curves of genus g and A_g the moduli space of principally polarized complex abelian varieties of dimension g. Let $j : M_g \to A_g$ be the Torelli map, i.e., the map which associates to a curve its Jacobian. The Torelli theorem asserts that this map is injective. We call the image of this map the *open Torelli locus* and denote it by T_g° . By its definition, it consists of Jacobians of smooth curves of genus g. The closure of the open Torelli locus inside A_g is called the *closed Torelli locus*, which we denote by T_g . The closed Torelli locus is the locus of Jacobians of stable curves of compact type. The quest of Shimura subvarieties (or special subvarieties) contained generically in the Torelli locus T_g and not fully contained in the boundary is a longstanding problem, which can be traced back at least to Shimura (see [S]). Although not realized then, more than two decades later, Coleman formulated his famous conjecture suggesting that for $g \geq 4$ there are only finitely many curves C of genus g over the complex numbers whose Jacobian $J(C)$ is an abelian variety of CM type. See [C]. Shortly after that, de Jong and Noot disproved that conjecture by finding examples of families of curves which give rise to Shimura subvarieties in A_g lying generically in the (open) Torelli locus and intersecting the (closed) Torelli locus non-trivially. The families that they found - which were also found in the aforementioned article of Shimura- were all families of cyclic coverings of $\mathbb{P}^1$. Their examples were of fiber genus 4 and 6 and they did explain the relation between their examples and the Coleman conjecture. Examples

of higher genera ($g = 5$ and $g = 7$), again of families of cyclic coverings of $\mathbb{P}^1$, were found by Rohde [R]. Finally Moonen completed the list of Shimura subvarieties arising from families of cyclic coverings of $\mathbb{P}^1$ in [M] by showing that there are no more Shimura subvarieties in this locus. The fiber genus of all of these families were bounded by 8. Note that all of these suvarieties are of PEL type. For some non-PEL type examples in A_g see for example [N2]. Based on the above examples, one can reformulate the Coleman conjecture as follows:

The (corrected) Coleman conjecture. For $g \geq 8$ there are only finitely many smooth projective curves C over $\mathbb{C}$ of genus g such that $J(C)$ is an abelian variety of CM type.

Bearing in mind that every Shimura variety contains a dense subset of CM points, we see that the Coleman conjecture is related to the following conjecture and in fact disproving this conjecture will disprove the Coleman conjecture:

Conjecture ([O], §5). For large g (in any case $g \geq 8$), there is no positive-dimensional Shimura subvariety contained in T_g such that $Z \cap T_g^\circ$ is non-empty.

We just remark that if one assumes the André-Oort conjecture to be true then the above conjecture is even equivalent to the Coleman conjecture. Note that the condition that our subvariety meets T_g° is a key condition. Otherwise one can easily construct a lot of Shimura subvarieties contained fully in the boundary of T_g for every $g \geq 2$. There are also several other results on the occurrence of Shimura subvarieties in the Torelli locus. Viehweg and Zuo for example studied in [VZ1] the occurrence of Shimura curves in the moduli stack of principally polarized abelian varieties relating it to Arakelov equalities. See also [MVZ]. The recent work of Lu and Zuo [LZ] has made some progress in the proof of Coleman's conjecture. They show that there do not exist Shimura curves in Torelli locus of hyperelliptic curves of genus greater than 7. They also show that Shimura curves with maximal Higgs field (they are either self product of universal family of elliptic curves or Shimura curves of Mumford type) can not be contained in Torelli locus of curves of genus greater than 5. In [MO] Oort and Moonen asked whether one can obtain further Shimura subvarieties in the Torelli locus by taking families of abelian coverings of $\mathbb{P}^1$ with a non-cyclic Galois group. They also gave some examples of families of abelian covers of $\mathbb{P}^1$ which give rise to Shimura subvarieties in T_g.

Structure of the paper and main results. In this article we try to generalize the methods of Moonen-Oort and classify Shimura subvarieties arising from families of abelian covers of the projective line. In section 2 we describe the construction of abelian covers of $\mathbb{P}^1$ and their families. More precisely, we fix integers $N \geq 2$, $s \geq 4$, $m \geq 1$ and an $m \times s$ matrix A with entries in $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$. Given an s-tuple $t = (z_1, ..., z_s) \in (\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}})^s$ there is a Galois cover $Y_t \to \mathbb{P}^1$ branched at the points z_j with local monodromies encoded in the matrix A and an abelian Galois group G which is isomorphic to the column span of the matrix A and hence is a subgroup of the group $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^m$. By varying the branch points we obtain a family of abelian covers of $\mathbb{P}^1$. The corresponding family of Jacobians gives

a subvariety of A_g whose closure $Z = Z(N, s, A) \subset A_g$ lies in T_g. Of course, Z will be of dimension $s-3$ where s is the number of branch points of the covering and it lies in the Torelli locus cutting the open Torelli locus non-trivially. Our goal is to classify the cases where Z is a Shimura subvariety. We observe that there are two Shimura subvarieties containing Z. Our method here is a generalization of that of Moonen-Oort [MO] and Moonen [M]. The Jacobians in our families admit an action of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. This action defines a Shimura subvariety $S(G)$ in A_g. Note that $S(G)$ does depend also on the matrix A but since in our construction in section 1 the matrix, up to possible permutations of rows and columns which yield isomorphic families, is fixed for each family from the beginning, we omit this dependence and use the notation $S(G)$. This subvariety is in fact the Hodge locus given by the Hodge classes that are exactly elements of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ viewed as endomorphisms of the Jacobians in our family. It follows that $Z \subseteq S(G)$ and therefore $s-3 \leq \dim S(G)$. When $\dim S(G) = s-3$, or equivalently when $Z = S(G)$, one concludes that the subvariety Z is a Shimura subvariety. The dimension of $S(G)$ can be calculated in terms of dimensions of certain eigenspaces with respect to the Galois group action and the equality $\dim S(G) = s-3$ is then easy to check by a computer and by using a simple computer program we list the examples in a table (see Table 1). Note that this table appears also in [MO], where they present these families as a set of examples of families of abelian covers which give rise to Shimura subvarieties. Their method -based on the only example that they give- is to decompose the Jacobian of the fibers by representing them as cyclic covers and then compute the multiplicity of the corresponding group action on the tangent space of the Jacobian. Also, they do not claim that this table contains all examples for which $\dim S(G) = s-3$ and do not claim that their table is exhaustive (unlike Table 1 in [M] which is shown there to be exhaustive). Here we use a systematic approach based on an explicit formula in terms of the dimension of the eigenspaces and then we compute also these dimensions explicitly. Of course, when $s-3 < \dim S(G)$ one can not conclude that Z is not a Shimura subvariety. It can very well happen that Z is still a smaller Shimura subvariety contained in $S(G)$. The second Shimura subvariety containing Z is the smallest Shimura subvariety with this property and we denote it by S_f. Its corresponding reductive group M is the generic Mumford-Tate group of the family. Therefore $Z = S_f$ if and only if Z is a Shimura subvariety, see Construction 2.2.2 where we describe how to compute $\dim S_f$. The above equivalence means that Z is a Shimura subvariety if and only if $\dim S_f = s-3$. We will use these facts mainly in section 6. In section 3, we state our first main result, namely, Theorem 3.1. This Theorem asserts that Table 1 contains various examples of matrices A such that Z is a Shimura subvariety and moreover: If $s = 4$ and $\dim S(G) = 1$, then A shows up in this list. If $s \in \{5, 6, 7\}$, $2 \leq m \leq 5$ and $N \leq 20$, then A appears in this list. In [M], Moonen shows that when G is cyclic, then Z is a Shimura subvariety if and only if $Z = S(G)$. As described above, this is by no means obvious. Our objective in the rest of the paper is to show this equivalence for G abelian. In order to do this, we require tools from characteristic p. Section 4 and 5 provide us with these technical tools. More precisely, in section 4 we describe an obstruction of Dwork-Ogus which vanishes if the family gives rise to a Shimura subvariety in A_g. In section 5 we give a formula for the Hasse-Witt map of the family which will be used to show that the obstruction does not vanish for our families. This is done in section 6.1. Our second main theorem, Theorem 6.1.1, shows that if $s = 4$ and Z is a Shimura subvariety then $Z = S_f = S(G)$ and hence it appears in Table 1. Taken together with Theorem 3.1, these show that Table 1 completely classifies one-dimensional Shimura subvarieties which arise from irreducible abelian covers of $\mathbb{P}^1$. Finally, our last main result, Theorem 6.2.6, shows that when s is large enough ($s > 19$), then the families of abelian

covers of $\mathbb{P}^1$ do not give rise to Shimura subvarieties. We achieve this by showing that in these cases $s-3 < \dim S_f$, using constructions form section 2.

2 Construction of abelian covers of $\mathbb{P}^1$ and their families

An abelian Galois cover of $\mathbb{P}^1$ is determined by a collection of equations in the following way: Consider an $m \times s$ matrix $A = (r_{ij})$ whose entries r_{ij} are in $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ for some $N \geq 2$. Let $\overline{\mathbb{C}(z)}$ be the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{C}(z)$. For each $i = 1, ..., m$, select a function $w_i \in \overline{\mathbb{C}(z)}$ with

$$w_i^N = \prod_{j=1}^{s}(z - z_j)^{\widetilde{r}_{ij}} \text{ for } i = 1, \cdots, m$$

in $\mathbb{C}(z)[w_1, ..., w_m]$. Note that $z_j \in \mathbb{C}$.

Here $\widetilde{r}_{ij}$ is the lift of r_{ij} to $\mathbb{Z} \cap [0, N)$. We impose the condition that the sum of the columns of A are zero. This implies that the cover is *not* ramified over infinity. The matrix A will be called the matrix of the covering. Note that our notations here are mostly that of [W]. Also we consider the row and column spans of A as modules over the ring $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ and so all of the operations with rows and columns will be carried out in the ring $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, i.e., it will be considered modulo N. The abelian Galois group G of the covering is isomorphic to the column span of the matrix A and hence can be considered as a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^m$ (denoted also by $\mathbb{Z}_N^m$). See [W], 2.2. Sometimes for preventing confusions we use the symbol $[]_N$ to show in which ring we are working and for example write $[r_{ij}]_N$ instead of r_{ij}. The abelian cover is the smooth projective algebraic curve with function field $\mathbb{C}(z)[w_1, ..., w_m]$. It can easily be seen that any Galois cover of $\mathbb{P}^1$ with abelian Galois (or deck) group is obtained in this way from a certain matrix A. The local monodromy about the branch point z_j is given by the column vector $(r_{1j}, ..., r_{mj})^t$ and thus the order of ramification over z_j is $\frac{N}{gcd(N, \widetilde{r}_{1j}, .., \widetilde{r}_{mj})}$. Using this and the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, the genus g of the cover is then given by:

$$g = 1 + d(\tfrac{s-2}{2} - \tfrac{1}{2N}\textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{s} gcd(N, \widetilde{r}_{1j}, ..., \widetilde{r}_{mj}))$$

Where d is the degree of the covering. Note that the degree d of the covering (or equivalently the order of the Galois group) can be realized as the size of the row span (equivalently column span) of the matrix A. See [W].

Remark 2.1. Note that a G-Galois cover $f : C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ branched above the points $S = \{z_1, ..., z_s\}$ corresponds to a surjection $\phi : \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus S) \twoheadrightarrow G$ (See [Vö], Theorem 5.14).

The fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus S)$ is generated by the loops γ_j around z_j with only the condition that $\gamma_1 ... \gamma_s = 1$ and the local monodromy around z_j is given by $\phi(\gamma_j)$. Our assumption in the above that the columns sum is zero implies simply that $\infty \notin S$.

Next we turn to define families of curves which are abelian coverings of the projective line.

Let $T \subset (\mathbb{A}^1)^s$ be the complement of the big diagonals, i.e., $T = \mathcal{P}_s = \{(z_1, ..., z_s) \in (\mathbb{A}^1)^s \mid z_i \neq z_j \forall i \neq j\}$. Over this open affine set, we define a family of abelian covers of $\mathbb{P}^1$ to have the equation:

$$w_i^N = \prod_{j=1}^s (z - z_j)^{\widetilde{r}_{ij}} \text{ for } i = 1, \cdots, m.$$

Where the tuple $(z_1, ..., z_s) \in T$ and $\widetilde{r}_{ij}$ is the lift of r_{ij} to $\mathbb{Z} \cap [0, N)$ as before. In this way each w_i defines a cyclic cover of $\mathbb{P}^1$. Note that we have presented the abelian cover as a fiber product, over $\mathbb{P}^1$, of cyclic covers given by the above formulas. Varying the branch points we get a family $f : C \to T$ of smooth projective curves over T whose fibers C_t are abelian covers of $\mathbb{P}^1$ introduced above.

If $f : C \to T$ is a family of abelian covers constructed as above, we write $J \to T$ for the relative Jacobian of C over T. This family gives a natural map $j : T \to A_g$. Let $Z = Z(N, s, A)$ be the closure $\overline{j(T)}$ in A_g. Such a family therefore gives rise to a closed subvariety $Z = Z(N, s, A)$ in the moduli space A_g and we have $\dim Z = s - 3$. This holds because any three points on $\mathbb{P}^1$ can be moved to the points $0, 1, \infty$. We call the subvariety Z the *moduli variety* associated to the family $f : C \to T$.

Remark 2.2. Consider two families of abelian covers with matrices A and A' over the same $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. If A and A' have equal row spans then the two families are isomorphic. For more details see [W].

2.1 The local system associated to an abelian cover

In this section we are going to describe an alternative construction of abelian coverings using line bundles and local systems. This construction resembles that of [EV] in the case of cyclic coverings of algebraic varieties. Let G be a finite abelian group. We denote by μ_G the group of the characters of G, i.e., $\mu_G = Hom(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$. Consider a Galois covering $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ with Galois group G. The group G acts on the sheaves $\pi_*(\mathcal{O})$ and $\pi_*(\mathbb{C})$ via its characters and we get corresponding eigenspace decompositions $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}) = \oplus_{\chi \in \mu_G} \pi_*(\mathcal{O})_\chi$ and $\pi_*(\mathbb{C}) = \oplus_{\chi \in \mu_G} \pi_*(\mathbb{C})_\chi$. Let $L_\chi^{-1} = \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_X)_\chi$ and $\mathbb{C}_\chi = \pi_*(\mathbb{C})_\chi$ denote the eigensheaves corresponding to the character χ. L_χ is a line bundle and outside of the branch locus of π, $\mathbb{C}_\chi$ is a local system of rank 1. Following [P1], we call the bundles L_χ and $z_j, j = 1, .., s$, considered as divisors in $\mathbb{P}^1$ the *building data* of the cover. The reason is that these data determine the cover completely.

Remark 2.1.1. Note that if G is a finite abelian group, then $\mu_G = Hom(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$ is isomorphic to G. To see this, first assume that $G = \mathbb{Z}/N$ is a cyclic group. We fix an isomorphism between $\mathbb{Z}/N$ and the group of N-th roots of unity in $\mathbb{C}^*$ via $1 \mapsto exp(2\pi i/N)$. Now the group μ_G is isomorphic to this latter group via $\chi \mapsto \chi(1)$. In the general case, we can extend this to an isomorphism $\varphi_G : G \cong \mu_G$ because G is a product of finite cyclic groups.

For our applications, with notations as in the previous pages, we fix an isomorphism of G with a product of $\mathbb{Z}/n$'s and an embedding of G into $(\mathbb{Z}/N)^m$.

Let l_j be the j-th column of the matrix A. As mentioned earlier, the group G can be realized as the column span of the matrix A. Therefore we may assume that $l_j \in G$. For a character χ, $\chi(l_j) \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and since G is finite $\chi(l_j)$ will be a root of unity. Let $\chi(l_j) = e^{\frac{2\alpha_j \pi i}{N}}$, where α_j is the unique integer in $[0, N)$ with this property. Equivalently, the α_j can be obtained in the following way: let $a \in G \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}/N)^m$ be the element corresponding to χ under the above isomorphism. We regard a as an $1 \times m$ matrix. Then the matrix product $a.A$ is meaningful and $a.A = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_s)$. Here all of the operations are carried out in $\mathbb{Z}/N$ but the α_j are regarded as integers in $[0, N)$. Using the above facts, we occasionally consider a character of G as an element of this group without referring to isomorphism φ_G.

Let us denote by ω_X the canonical sheaf of X. Similar to the case of $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$, the sheaf $\pi_*(\omega_X)_\chi$ decomposes according to the action of G. For the line bundles L_χ corresponding to the character χ associated to the element $a \in G$ and $\pi_*(\omega_X)_\chi$ we have:

Lemma 2.1.2. With notations as above $L_\chi = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\sum_1^s < \frac{\alpha_j}{N} >)$.

where $< x >$ denotes the fractional part of the real number x.

and

$\pi_*(\omega_X)_\chi = \omega_{\mathbb{P}^1} \otimes L_{\chi^{-1}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2 + \sum_1^s < -\frac{\alpha_j}{N} >)$.

Proof. Note that since the sum of the columns of the matrix A is zero, the above sum is an integer. One can easily see that each section of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\sum_1^s < \frac{\alpha_i}{N} >)$ is a function on which the Galois group acts as χ and conversely any such section must be a function of the above form. The rest of the lemma is [P2], Proposition 1.2. □

Remark 2.1.3. Note that in the case of a cyclic cover of $\mathbb{P}^1$ the bundles L_χ and local systems $\mathbb{C}_\chi$ coincide with the bundles $\mathcal{L}^{(j)}$ and local systems $\mathbb{L}_j$ in [R] as one expects naturally.

2.2 Two Shimura subvarieties containing Z

In this subsection we describe two naturally constructed Shimura subvarieties of A_g associated to a family $f : C \to T$ of abelian covers that contain the moduli variety Z. The construction that we describe below and Lemma 2.2.1 are based on the works in [M] and [MO] which we just reformulate for abelian covers. For the sake of completeness, we give the proof of Lemma 2.2.1 in detail.

Let us first sketch the construction of A_g, the moduli space of principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension g, as a Shimura variety. Let $V_{\mathbb{Z}} := \mathbb{Z}^{2g} \subset V_{\mathbb{Q}} := \mathbb{Q}^{2g}$ and let $\psi : V_{\mathbb{Z}} \times V_{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathbb{Z}$ be the standard symplectic form. Let $L = Gsp(V_{\mathbb{Z}}, \psi)$ be the group of symplectic similitudes and $\mathbb{S} := Res_{\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{G}_m$ be the Deligne torus. Consider the space $\mathcal{H}_g$ of homomorphisms $h : \mathbb{S} \to L_{\mathbb{R}}$ that define a Hodge structure of type $(1,0)+(0,1)$ on $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$ with $\pm(2\pi i)\psi$ as a polarization. The pair $(L_{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathcal{H}_g)$ is a Shimura datum and A_g can be described as the Shimura variety associated to this Shimura datum as follows. Let $K_n := \{g \in G(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) | g \equiv 1 \ (mod\ n)\}$ with $n \geq 3$. Then $A_{g,n}(\mathbb{C}) = L(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus \mathcal{H}_g \times L(\mathbb{A}_f)/K_n$. The natural number n is called the level structure. Since it does not play any role in the sequel we drop it from the notation.

As A_g has the structure of a Shimura variety, one can talk about its Shimura (or special) subvarieties. Consider an algebraic subgroup $N \subset L_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for which the set

$$Y_N = \{h \in \mathcal{H}_g |\ h \text{ factors through } N_{\mathbb{R}}\}$$

is non-empty. If Y^+ is a connected component of Y_N and $\gamma K_n \in L(\mathbb{A}_f)/K_n$, the image of $Y^+ \times \{\gamma K_n\}$ in A_g is an algebraic subvariety. We define a *Shimura subvariety* as an algebraic subvariety S of A_g which arises in this way, i.e., there exists a connected component $Y^+ \subset Y_N$ and an element $\gamma K_n \in L(\mathbb{A}_f)/K_n$ such that S is the image of $Y^+ \times \{\gamma K_n\}$ in A_g.

Now consider a family $C \to T$ of abelian covers of $\mathbb{P}^1$ with abelian Galois group G as in the beginning of section 2. The Jacobians in the family $J \to T$ admit naturally an action of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. This action defines a Shimura subvariety of PEL type $S(G)$ in A_g that contains Z. More precisely, fix a base point $t \in T$ and let (J_t, λ) be the corresponding Jacobian with λ as its principal polarization. Let $(V_{\mathbb{Z}}, \psi)$ be as above. We fix a symplectic similitude $s : H^1(J_t, \mathbb{Z}) \to V_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Let $F = \mathbb{Q}[G]$. Via s, the Hodge structure on $H^1(J_t, \mathbb{Q})$ corresponds to a point $y \in \mathcal{H}_g$ and one obtains the structure of an F-module on $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$. F is isomorphic to a product of cyclotomic fields and is equipped with a natural involution $*$ which is complex conjugation on each factor. The polarization ψ on $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$ satisfies

$$\psi(bu, v) = \psi(u, b^* v) \text{ for all } b \in F \text{ and } u, v \in V.$$

Define the subgroup N as in [MO]:

$$N = Gsp(V_{\mathbb{Q}}, \psi) \cap GL_F(V_{\mathbb{Q}})$$

If $h_0 : \mathbb{S} \to Gsp_{2g,\mathbb{R}}$ is the Hodge structure on $V = H^1(J_t, \mathbb{Z})$ corresponding to the point $y \in \mathcal{H}_g$, then by the above F-action this homomorphism factors through the subvariety $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. Define the set Y_N as above. The point y lies in Y_N and there is a connected component $Y^+ \subseteq Y_N$ which contains y. We define $S(G)$ to be the image of Y^+ under the map $\mathcal{H}_g \to L(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathcal{H}_g \cong L(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus \mathcal{H}_g \times L(\mathbb{A}_f)/L(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \cong A_g(\mathbb{C})$

Since $Z \subseteq S(G)$, we have that $s-3 \leq \dim S(G)$. Therefore if $\dim S(G) = s-3$, it follows that $Z = S(G)$ and hence Z will be a Shimura subvariety of A_g. We can find the dimension of the variety $S(G)$ by finding the tangent space to it at an arbitrary point. To do this we have to consider the eigenspaces of the action of the group G on cohomology. The group G acts on the cohomology $H^1(C_t, \mathbb{C})$ by its characters. There is also a natural action on $H^{1,0} = H^0(C_t, \omega_{C_t})$. Let $H^0(C_t, \omega_{C_t})_{(n)}$ be the subspace on which the group G acts by character $n \in \mu_G$. By Remark 2.1.1, there is a corresponding element in G which we again denote by n. Put $d_n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(C_t, \omega_{C_t})_{(n)}$. We have:

Lemma 2.2.1 $\dim S(G) = \sum_{2n \neq 0} d_n d_{-n} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{2n=0} d_n(d_n+1)$.

Note that $2.0 = 0$ in G and $d_0 = 0$, so in fact the second sum in the right hand side of the above equality is always meaningful and if $|G|$ is an odd number it will be zero.

Proof. We calculate $\dim T_y(Y_N)$ at the point $y \in \mathcal{H}_g$. The dimension of the tangent space of $S(G)$ at the point y will be equal to this number. To compute $\dim T_y(\mathcal{H}_g)$, we note that:

$$T_y(\mathcal{H}_g) = Hom^{sym}(H^{1,0}, V_{\mathbb{C}}/H^{1,0}) :=$$

$$\{\beta : H^{1,0} \to V_{\mathbb{C}}/H^{1,0} \mid \overline{\phi}(v, \beta(v')) = \overline{\phi}(v', \beta(v)) \forall v, v' \in H^{1,0}\}$$

i.e., the elements of $T_y(\mathcal{H}_g)$ that are their own dual via the isomorphisms induced by $\overline{\phi}$. For a more detailed discussion see [MO]. The subspace $T_y(Y_N) \subset T_y(\mathcal{H}_g)$ consists of $\beta \in Hom^{sym}(H^{1,0}, V_{\mathbb{C}}/H^{1,0})$ (symmetric with respect to $\overline{\phi}$) that respect the F-action on V, that is, are $F_{\mathbb{C}}$-linear. Any such β can be written as the sum $\sum \beta_n$, where $\beta_n : H^{1,0}_{\mathbb{C},n} \to H^{0,1}_{\mathbb{C},n}$ is the induced action on the eigenspaces. These β_n should satisfy the relation

$$\overline{\phi}_n(v, \beta_{-n}(v')) = \overline{\phi}_{-n}(v', \beta_n(v)).$$

Note that the map $\overline{\phi}_n$ induced by the polarization ϕ gives a duality between $H^{1,0}_{\mathbb{C},n}$ and $H^{0,1}_{\mathbb{C},(-n)}$. So we have a duality between β_n and β_{-n} if $n \neq -n$ in G. If $n = -n$ in G, i.e., if $2n = 0$ in G this gives a self duality for β_k. Therefore $\dim T_y(Y_N)$ is equal to:

$$\sum_{2n \neq 0} d_n d_{-n} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{2n=0} d_n(d_n+1) \qquad \square$$

Construction 2.2.2. The construction of the second Shimura subvariety that contains Z is in fact Mumford's construction of "variety of Hodge type ". Namely, let M be the generic Mumford-Tate group of the family $f : C \to T$. For the definition and construction of the generic Mumford-Tate group we refer to [M1] or [R]. Note that M is a reductive $\mathbb{Q}$-algebraic group . Let S_f be the natural Shimura variety associated to M. Note that in general $M \subseteq N$, where N is the group defined before Lemma 2.2.1, and we have $S_f \subseteq S(G)$. The Shimura subvariety S_f is in fact the *smallest* Shimura subvariety that contains Z and its dimension depends on the real adjoint group $M^{ad}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Namely, if $M_{\mathbb{R}}^{ad} = Q_1 \times ... \times Q_r$ is the decomposition of $M_{\mathbb{R}}^{ad}$ to $\mathbb{R}$-simple groups then $\dim S_f = \sum \delta(Q_i)$. If $Q_i(\mathbb{R})$ is not compact then $\delta(Q_i)$ is the dimension of the corresponding symmetric space associated to the real group Q_i which can be read from Table V of [H]. If $Q_i(\mathbb{R})$ is compact, i.e., if Q_i is anisotropic we set $\delta(Q_i) = 0$. We remark that for $Q = PSU(p,q)$, $\delta(Q) = pq$ and for $Q = Psp_{2p}$, $\delta(Q) = \frac{p(p+1)}{2}$. Note also that Z is a Shimura subvariety if and only if $\sum \delta(Q_i) = s-3$, i.e., if and only if $\dim Z = \dim S_f = s-3$.

Computation of d_n. We have seen that the dimension of the Shimura variety $S(G)$ can be expressed in terms of the dimension of the eigenspaces of Galois action on the cohomology of the fibers. We will now try to compute these dimensions. Let $\chi \in \mu_G$ be a character of G and $n = (a_1, .., a_m) \in G$ be the element corresponding to χ under the isomorphism φ_G introduced in Remark 2.1.1. Let α_1,...,α_s be as in Lemma 2.1.2. We have the following result:

Proposition 2.2.3. For C an abelian cover of $\mathbb{P}^1$ we have:

$d_n = h_\chi^{1,0}(C) = -1 + \sum_1^s < -\frac{\alpha_j}{N} >$

Proof. By Lemma 2.1.2 we have that:

$\pi_*(\omega_C)_\chi = \omega_{\mathbb{P}^1} \otimes L_{\chi^{-1}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2 + \sum_1^s < -\frac{\alpha_j}{N} >)$

It follows that:

$h_\chi^{1,0}(C) = h^0(\pi_*(\omega_C)_\chi) = -1 + \sum_1^s < -\frac{\alpha_j}{N} >.$ □

Note that it naturally follows that

$d_{-n} = h_{\chi^{-1}}^{1,0}(C) = h_\chi^{0,1}(C) = -1 + \sum_1^s < \frac{\alpha_j}{N} >.$

Remark 2.2.4. There are other methods to compute the dimension of the eigenspaces d_n. Note that the abelian Galois group G of the covering is a (possibly proper) subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_N^m$ and therefore we can show an element of G as an m-tuple $n = (n_1, ..., n_m)$. The space $H_n^{1,0}$ of differential forms on which G acts via character n is generated over $\mathbb{C}(z)$ by the differential form $w_n = \prod (z - z_j)^{-t_j(-n)} dz$, where $t_j(n) =< \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m n_i \tilde{r}_{ij}}{N} >$ and $< . >$ denotes the fractional part of a real number. It is then straightforward to check that a meromorphic form $p(z) \prod (z - z_j)^{-t_j(-n)} dz$ is holomorphic if and only if $p(z)$ is a polynomial of degree at most $t(n) = \sum t_j(-n) - 1$, see [W], Lemma 2.6. So the dimension of $H_n^{1,0}$ is equal to $t(n)$. Alternatively, one can use the Chevalley-Weil formula to compute the dimension of the eigenspaces. See [CW].

Table 1: Monodromy data of families of abelian coverings that generate Shimura subvarieties.

genus	Galois group	N	monodromy data
1	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	2	$\{(1,0)(1,0)(0,1)(0,1)\}$
2	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	2	$\{(1,0)(1,0)(1,0)(1,1)(0,1)\}$
3	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$	4	{(2,0)(2,1)(0,1)(0,2)}
3	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$	4	{(2,0)(2,2)(0,1)(0,1)}
3	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$	2	{(1,0)(1,0)(1,1)(1,1)(0,1)(0,1)}
4	$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$	6	{(3,0)(3,1)(0,2)(0,3)}
4	$\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$	3	{(1,0)(1,0)(1,2)(0,1)}

3 Examples of Shimura varieties arising from abelian covers

In [M], Moonen completed the list of Shimura subvarieties generated by families of cyclic covers of $\mathbb{P}^1$ and proved that in the locus of cyclic covers of $\mathbb{P}^1$ there are no more Shimura varieties. The fiber genus of these families is bounded by 8 confirming the bound given by the corrected version of Coleman conjecture. See page 2. In [MO], Oort and Moonen give a table of 7 examples of abelian non-cyclic Galois covers of $\mathbb{P}^1$ that generate Shimura subvarieties in A_g. All of these examples satisfy the equality $\dim S(G) = s - 3$. Their method to obtain these examples is based on analyzing the decomposition of Jacobians up to the isogeny under the action of group ring $\mathbb{Q}[G]$. Our argument here is based on checking the equality of Lemma 2.2.1. Checking whether this equality holds is something that can be checked on a computer and by using a computer program we have checked the examples which satisfy this equality. However, our computer search did not provide a further example satisfying $\dim S(G) = s-3$. We are able however to prove that for $s = 4$ the table contains all examples with $\dim S(G) = s - 3 = 1$ (see Theorem 3.1 below). We moreover study the families that *do not* appear in the our table, i.e., those that do not satisfy the equality $\dim S(G) = s-3$. In this case, $Z \neq S(G)$ but it does not imply that Z is not a Shimura subvariety: it could still be a smaller Shimura subvariety (inside $S(G)$) or in other words, there might be Hodge classes that are *not* given by the action of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. We are able to show that some large classes of families, including all families with $s = 4$, do not give rise to Shimura subvarieties in A_g provided that the family is irreducible, see Theorem 6.1.1.

Theorem 3.1. The families in Table 1 give rise to Shimura subvarieties in A_g. Moreover for $s = 4$ this table contains all examples of families of abelian non-cyclic Galois covers of $\mathbb{P}^1$ for which $\dim S(G) = 1 (= s - 3)$ and contains all such examples for $5 \leq s \leq 7$, $2 \leq m \leq 5$ and $N \leq 20$.

Proof. One can compute the dimensions d_n of eigenspaces with the aid of the formula in Proposition 2.2.3. It is straightforward to check that in all of these cases $\dim S(G) = \dim Z = s-3$ and therefore $Z = S(G)$ is a Shimura subvariety of A_g. By using the computer program mentioned on the last page, one can check that for the triples (m, s, N) satisfying the bounds of the theorem the only possibilities are the ones listed above. For $s = 4$, note that if $\dim S(G) = 1$ then by using Lemma 2.2.1, there is a unique $a \in G$ such that $d_a = d_{-a} = 1$ and for all other $n \in G$, $d_n d_{-n} = 0$. We may therefore assume that the first row of the matrix A satisfies this equality. By results of [M] or [R] we know that there are only finitely many of these with $N \leq 12$ and by the aforementioned computer program we may check that the above examples are the only ones which satisfy $\dim S(G) = 1$ and $N \leq 12$. This means that Table 1 contains all examples with $\dim S(G) = 1$. By using the same computer program we see that this table contains all examples with $\dim S(G) = s-3$ and with conditions as above. □

4 The Dwork-Ogus obstruction

As we remarked earlier, we are going to exclude further examples of Shimura subvarieties arising from families of abelian covers. To do this we will need an obstruction introduced by Dwork and Ogus in [DO]. In this section we follow [M], §5 which involves the same ideas and techniques as in [DN], §5 using the Dwork-Ogus obstruction. Although we will encounter cases that we can not use this obstruction, it remains a crucial tool in proving that a certain variety is not a Shimura subvariety. The construction of the obstruction is as follows:

Let the $f : C \to T$ be a family of smooth projective curves with an irreducible base scheme T. We denote the sheaf of relative differentials with $\omega_{C/T}$ and the Hodge bundle $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{E}(C/T) = f_*\omega_{C/T}$. Consider the Kodaira-Spencer map $\kappa : Sym^2(\mathbb{E}) \to \Omega^1_T$ (usually the dual of this map is defined to be the Kodaira-spencer map, but as we will mainly work with this map, rather than the original Kodaira-Spencer, we name it as such). The multiplication map $mult : Sym^2(\mathbb{E}) \to f_*(\omega^{\otimes 2}_{C/T})$ induces the following sheaves:

$$\mathcal{K} = Ker(mult) = Ker(Sym^2(\mathbb{E}) \to f_*(\omega^{\otimes 2}_{C/T}))$$

$$\mathcal{L} = Coker(mult^\vee) = Coker((f_*\omega^{\otimes 2}_{C/T})^\vee \to Sym^2(\mathbb{E})^\vee),$$

If the fibers are not hyperelliptic, by a famous result of Max Noether (see [OS]), *mult* is surjective and $\mathcal{K}$ is dual to $\mathcal{L}$.

Now, if C is a smooth projective curve over a field k of characteristic $p > 0$ with an ordinary Jacobian (we call such a curve an *ordinary curve*) and a principal polarization λ, Serre-Tate theory (see [DO]) guaranties that there exists a canonical lifting J^{can} of J to the Witt ring $W(k)$. The question of whether the canonical lifting of a Jacobian J is again a Jacobian has been of main interest and Dwork and Ogus have shown in [DO] that even over the Witt ring of length 2 this is a very restrictive condition and in

general is not true. Their method consists of constructing an obstruction β such that $\beta = 0$ if and only if the canonical lifting J^{can} is a Jacobian. They then show that this obstruction is generically non-zero. We recall the construction of β in short. The curve C is called pre-$W_2 - canonical$ if the canonical lifting (J^{can}, λ^{can}) over $W_2(k)$ is isomorphic to the Jacobian of a smooth projective curve Y as a principally polarized abelian variety. According to Dwork-Ogus theory, the obstruction β_C to the existence of such Y is the restriction of an element $\beta_C \in Sym^2(\mathbb{E})^\vee$ to the kernel $ker(mult)$ of the multiplication map. This obstruction can be generalized to an obstruction for families $f : C \to T$ of ordinary curves to give an obstruction $\widetilde{\beta}_{C/T}$ which is a global section of $F_T^*\mathcal{L}(C/T)$ where $F_T : T \to T$ denotes the absolute Frobenius map and the value of $\widetilde{\beta}_{C/T}$ at $t \in T$ is equal to $F_k^*(\beta_{C_t/k})$. Note that since the family is assumed to have ordinary fibers the inverse Cartier operator $\gamma : F_T^*\mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{E}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_T$-linear isomorphism. The matrix of the inverse transpose of γ, which is the Frobenius action on $R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_C$, is called the *Hasse-Witt matrix* of the family. By a result of Katz in [K2], the pull-back $F_T^*\mathcal{L}(C/T)$ comes equipped with a natural flat connection:

$$\nabla : F_T^*\mathcal{L} \to F_T^*\mathcal{L} \otimes \Omega^1_{T/k}.$$

For the Dwork-Ogus obstruction $\widetilde{\beta}_{C/T}$, it holds that $-\nabla\widetilde{\beta}_{C/T} : F_T^*\mathcal{K} \to \Omega^1_{T/k}$ is equal to the composition

$$F_T^*\mathcal{K} \hookrightarrow F_T^* Sym^2(\mathbb{E}) \xrightarrow{Sym^2(\gamma)} Sym^2(\mathbb{E}) \xrightarrow{\kappa} \Omega^1_{T/k} \ (*)$$

The map $\kappa : Sym^2(\mathbb{E}) \to \Omega^1_{T/k}$ is the Kodaira-Spencer map associated to the family $f : C \to T$.

The above description of $\nabla\widetilde{\beta}_{C/T}$ gives us something computable which we will use later to show that the obstruction is not zero for our families.

The curves and their families which we introduced in section 1 were only defined over $\mathbb{C}$. In order to be able to use the above characteristic p tools, we need to set the scene in such a way that this reduction mod p makes sense.

Let $f : C \to T$ be a family of abelian covers as in section 1. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}[1/N, u]/\Phi_N$, where Φ_N is the Nth cyclotomic polynomial. Note that R can be embedded into $\mathbb{C}$ by sending the image of u to $\exp(2\pi i/N)$. We consider $T \subset (\mathbb{A}^1_R)^s$ as the complement of the big diagonals, i.e., as the R-scheme of ordered s-tuples of distinct points in $\mathbb{A}^1_R$. For a prime number p, we denote by $\wp$ a prime of R lying above p. One can choose a prime number $p \equiv 1 \pmod N$ and an open subset U of $T \otimes \mathbb{F}_p \cong T \otimes_R R/\wp$ such that for all $t \in U$, the fibers are ordinary curves in characteristic p. This is possible for example by [B], Theorem on page 2. For such p and U, consider the restricted family $C_U \to U$. The abelian group G also acts on the sheaves $\mathcal{L}(C_U/U)$ and gives the eigensheaf decomposition $\mathcal{L}(C_U/U) = \oplus_{n \in G}\mathcal{L}_{(n)}$. The same is true for $\mathbb{E}_U = \mathbb{E}(C_U/U)$ and $\mathcal{K}_U = \mathcal{K}(C_U/U)$. This in turn gives us the decomposition $\widetilde{\beta}_{C_U/U} = \sum_n \widetilde{\beta}_n$. Here $\widetilde{\beta}_n$ is considered as a section of $F_U^*\mathcal{L}_n$.

The main observation here is that if the family gives rise to a Shimura subvariety in A_g then the Dwork-Ogus obstruction vanishes:

Lemma 4.1. For prime number p and open subset U as above, if the family gives rise to a Shimura subvariety $Z \subseteq A_g$ then for any $t \in U$ we have that the Jacobian J_t is pre-W_2-canonical and in particular $\widetilde{\beta}_{C_U/U} = 0$.

Proof. This follows from results of [N1]. In fact if the moduli variety Z is a Shimura subvariety and $t \in T$ is an ordinary point (i.e., $J(C_t)$ is an ordinary abelian variety) then the canonical lifting J_t^{can} of J_t is a $W(k)$-valued point of Z. This means in particular that it is a Jacobian and hence J_t is pre-W_2- canonical. By Dwork-Ogus theory this forces $\widetilde{\beta}_{C_U/U}$ to be zero. $\square$

Now assuming that the fibers of the family are ordinary over U and the family gives rise to a Shimura subvariety in A_g, it follows from the Lemma 4.1 that $\widetilde{\beta}_{C_U/U} = 0$ and hence $\nabla\widetilde{\beta}_{C_U/U} = 0$. This shows that the composition map (*) should vanish identically.

From now on we just work with the restricted family C_U/U whose fibers are all ordinary instead of C/T and denote it simply as C/U. Next we remark that the sequence (*) factors through the map

$$Sym^2(\mathbb{E}) \to Sym^2(\mathbb{E})_{(0)} \xrightarrow{mult_{(0)}} f_*(\omega_{C/U}^{\otimes 2})_{(0)}$$

Where by the index (0) we mean the subspace of invariant elements under the action of G, i.e., the subspace on which G acts with the trivial character. Note that $f_*(\omega_{C/U}^{\otimes 2})_{(0)}$ is a locally free sheaf of rank $s-3$. The above factorization follows from the general fact that the fiber of $Sym^2(\mathbb{E})_{(0)}$ at t can be identified with (dual of) the space of G-equivariant deformations of C_t, i.e., the deformations for which the G-action also deforms along. Since there is a G-action on our whole family, the Kodaira-Spencer map should factor through the above map. The last map in the above sequence is just multiplication of forms.

Proposition 4.2. With notations as above, the map

$$F_U^*\mathcal{K}_{(0)} \hookrightarrow F_U^*Sym^2(\mathbb{E}_U)_{(0)} \xrightarrow{Sym^2(\gamma)} Sym^2(\mathbb{E}_U)_{(0)} \xrightarrow{mult_{(0)}} f_*(\omega_{C/U}^{\otimes 2})_{(0)}$$

vanishes identically provided that the family gives rise to a Shimura subvariety in A_g.

Proof. This is basically [M], Prop 5.8 in the more general setting of abelian covers. Let us first note that the induced Kodaira-Spencer map $\kappa_{(0)} : f_*(\omega_{C/T}^{\otimes 2})_{(0)} \to \Omega_T^1$ is injective. We remark that this map is in fact the dual of the usual Kodaira-Spencer map $\rho : \Theta_T \to H^1(\Theta_{C/T})$. Therefore its injectivity means the surjectivity of the Kodaira-Spencer map, i.e., the versality (or completeness) of our family. Now if $D/k[\epsilon]$ is a G-equivariant first order deformation of the fiber C_t the versality means that $D/k[\epsilon]$ can be obtained by

pull-back from our family. But this holds because in this case D/G is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1_{k[\epsilon]}$ and so as an abelian cover of $\mathbb{P}^1$ it can be obtained by pull-back from our family. Note that in this proof we use the versality of this family for the problem of deforming a curve with G-structure (and not the versality for a problem of deforming an abelian variety). If the fibers are non-hyperelliptic the vanishing of the above map follows directly from the theory of Dwork-Ogus, see [DO], together with the injectivity of $\kappa_{(0)}$ discussed above. In fact, according to [DO], the exact sequence (*) being equal to $-\nabla\widetilde{\beta}_{C/U}$ vanishes identically (Lemma 4.1 above. Note that this is where we use the hypothesis that the family gives rise to a Shimura subvariety). Injectivity of $\kappa_{(0)}$ then gives the vanishing of the claimed map. So we may assume that the fibers are hyperelliptic curves. Namely, with $\iota \in Aut(C/U)$ being the hyperelliptic isomorphism we conclude from results of [OS] that although the multiplication map $Sym^2(\mathbb{E}_U) \to f_*(\omega^{\otimes 2})$ is no longer surjective, the induced map $mult_\iota : Sym^2(\mathbb{E}_U)_\iota \to f_*(\omega^{\otimes 2})_\iota$ on the the sheaves of invariants of ι is again surjective. Since our family is contained in the hyperelliptic locus, this implies that the map $mult_{(0)}$ is also surjective and this forces $\widetilde{\beta}_{(0)}$ to be an $\mathcal{O}_U$-linear map. If $\widetilde{\beta}_{(0)}$ is zero of course $\nabla\widetilde{\beta}_{(0)}$ will be also zero. To complete the proof one can check that 0-component analogue of the exact sequence (*) also holds true for $\widetilde{\nabla\beta_{(0)}}$. $\square$

5 The generalization of a lemma

For our classification purposes, we will need the generalization to the abelian case of a lemma in [M] that concerns only with cyclic coverings. See [B], Lemma 5.1.i. This lemma allows us to compute explicitly the Hasse-Witt matrix of an abelian covering which considering the above constructions will be needed to compute the obstruction $\widetilde{\beta}_{C/U}$. Consider an abelian cover with Galois group G and matrix A. Let $a = (a_1, ..., a_m) \in G \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_N^m$ be an element in the Galois group of the abelian covering (or the corresponding character χ, see Remark 2.1.1). Consider $a.A = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_s)$ as in Lemma 2.1.2. Let $\widetilde{r}_{ij}$ be the lift of r_{ij} as in section 2 and $\widetilde{A} = (\widetilde{r}_{ij})$. Let $a.\widetilde{A} = (\sum_1^m a_i\widetilde{r}_{i1}, ..., \sum_1^m a_i\widetilde{r}_{is}) = (\widetilde{\alpha}_1, ..., \widetilde{\alpha}_s)$.

Next take a prime number p such that $p \equiv 1 \pmod N$ and let $q = \frac{p-1}{N}$.

Lemma 5.1. With notation as above, there exists a basis $(\xi_{a,i})_{a,i}$ of $H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ with respect to which the $(h_{\nu\iota})$ entry of the Hasse-Witt matrix of the abelian covering Y is given by the formula:

$$\sum_{\sum l_i = \Upsilon} \binom{q.[\alpha_1]_N}{l_1} ... \binom{q.[\alpha_s]_N}{l_s} z_1^{l_1} ... z_s^{l_s}$$

Where $\Upsilon = (d_n - \iota)(p-1) + (\nu - \iota)$ and $\binom{a}{b} = \frac{a!}{b!(a-b)!}$.

Proof. As in [B] take $U_1 = \mathbb{P}^1 - \{\infty\}$ and $U_2 = \mathbb{P}^1 - \{0\}$ and $V_i = \pi^{-1}U_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let

$$v_a = w_1^{a_1}...w_m^{a_m}(z - z_1)^{-[\frac{\tilde{\alpha}_1}{N}]}...(z - z_s)^{-[\frac{\tilde{\alpha}_s}{N}]}$$

Then we have:

$$\Gamma(V_1, \mathcal{O}_{V_1}) = \bigoplus_{a \in G} k[z]v_a$$

$$\Gamma(V_2, \mathcal{O}_{V_2}) = \bigoplus_{a \in G} k[z^{-1}]z^{-|a|-1}v_a$$

$$\Gamma(V_1 \cap V_2) = \bigoplus_{a \in G} k[z, z^{-1}]v_a$$

Defining $\xi_{a,i} = z^{-i}v_a$ for $i = 1, ..., |a|$, with $|a| = \dim H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)_a$, we see that the $\xi_{a,i}$'s form a basis for $H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)_a = \frac{\Gamma(V_1 \cap V_2)_a}{\Gamma(V_1)_a + \Gamma(V_2)_a}$ and $\{\xi_{a,i} | a \in G \setminus \{0\}, 0 < i \leq |a|\}$ is a basis for $H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$. By the above choice of p, the Hasse-Witt map $F : H^1 \to H^1$ induces a corresponding map $F_a : H^1_a \to H^1_a$. This gives us a decomposition of the Hasse-Witt map F corresponding to the decomposition of $H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ as a sum of eigenspaces described above.

If B_a is the matrix of the Hasse-Witt map $F_a : H^1_a \to H^1_a$ then the (i, j) entry of B_a is given by the coefficient of $\xi_{a,j}$ in $\xi^p_{a,i}$. This follows from the fact that the $\xi_{a,i} \otimes 1$ determine a local basis for the bundle $F_T^*(R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_C)_a$ and the Hasse-Witt operator $\gamma : F_T^*(R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_C)_a \to (R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_C)_a$ with respect to these bases is given by the p-th power endomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_C$. This is due to the fact that the p-linear composite map

$$R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_C \to F_T^*(R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_C) \to (R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_C)$$

is induced by the p-th power endomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_C$ (cf. [K1], 2.3.4.1.4). Now one sees that the coefficient of $\xi_{a,j}$ in this polynomial is as claimed above:

$$\sum_{\sum l_i = \Upsilon} \binom{q.[-\alpha_1]_N}{l_1}...\binom{q.[-\alpha_s]_N}{l_s} z_1^{l_1}...z_s^{l_s}. \qquad \square$$

6 Excluding non-Shimura examples

At this point we are going to exclude the families of abelian covers of the projective line that do not give rise to Shimura subvarieties in A_g. For some technical reasons working with families with 4 branch points is different from families with more branch points and it should be noted that this is in some sense the most important case as most of the

examples of Shimura families that have been found in [M] or [MO] are obtained from families with 4 branch points.

Remark 6.1. From now on we will assume that our families are families of *irreducible* abelian covers of $\mathbb{P}^1$. For cyclic covers this implies that the single row of the associated matrix is not annihilated by a non-zero element of $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. More generally, for abelian covers of $\mathbb{P}^1$ this implies that the rows of the associated matrix are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$.

6.1 The case of four branch points

Theorem 6.1.1. Let $Y \to T$ be a family of irreducible abelian covers with $s = 4$, i.e., with 4 branch points. Then the associated subvariety $Z \subseteq A_g$ is a Shimura curve if and only if $Z = S(G)$, i.e., if and only if it appears in Table 1.

Proof. Clearly the statement holds if $Z = S(G)$ as $S(G)$ is a Shimura variety of PEL type. Now assume on the contrary that $Z \neq S(G)$ but Z is a Shimura subvariety and we will derive a contradiction. By Theorem 3.1, the assumption $Z \neq S(G)$ implies that $\dim S(G) > 1$. Note that in this theorem we have classified all cases where $\dim Z = \dim S(G) = 1$, i.e., the cases for which $s = 4$ and $Z = S(G)$. Now suppose that $\dim S(G) > 1$. By Lemma 2.2.1, if $d_n = 0$ or $d_{-n} = 0$ then $n \in G$ does not contribute to $\dim S(G)$. Also, Lemma 2.2.3 implies that when $s = 4$, $d_n \leq 2$ and $d_n + d_{-n} \leq 2$. So if $d_n = 2$, then $d_{-n} = 0$ which we excluded. These facts together show that there are pairs $a, a' \in G$ with $a' \neq \pm a$ such that $d_a = d_{-a} = 1$ and $d_{a'} = d_{-a'} = 1$. Consequently, the spaces $H_n^{1,0}$ for $n \in \{\pm a, \pm a'\}$ are 1-dimensional. For $n \in \{\pm a, \pm a'\}$, let w_n be the generator of $H_n^{1,0}$ as in Remark 2.2.4. For these n's therefore, the Hasse-witt matrix A_n is a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_p[z_1, .., z_4]$. Note that by the discussion just before Lemma 4.1, there exist a suitable prime number p and an open subset U of $T \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ such that all fibers above U are ordinary. Therefore the Hasse-Witt operator is an isomorphism over U and so A_n is invertible as a section of $\mathcal{O}_U$. It follows from the description of w_n in Remark 2.2.4 that $\omega_a.\omega_{-a} = \omega_{a'}.\omega_{-a'}$ as a section of the bundle $f_*(\omega^{\otimes 2})$. It is a non-zero section of the bundle $f_*(\omega^{\otimes 2})$ and so we must have :

$$A_a.A_{-a} = A_{a'}.A_{-a'}$$

as polynomials.

We will show that this identity can not happen with the above conditions. The polynomials A_n are given by the dual version of Lemma 5.1 and we set $B_n = A_n \mid_{z_1=0}$. It means that we have :

$$B_n = \sum_{j_2+j_3+j_4=p-1} \binom{q.[-\alpha_2]_N}{j_2} ... \binom{q.[-\alpha_4]_N}{j_4} z_2^{j_2} ... z_4^{j_4}.$$

For $h \in \{2,3,4\}$, let $r_a(h)$ be the largest integer r such that B_a is divisible by z_h^r. We have that

$$r_a(h) = max\{0, q.\alpha_k + q.\alpha_t - (p-1)\}$$

where $\{k,t\} = \{2,3,4\} \setminus \{h\}$. One defines $r_{-a}(h)$ in a similar manner.

Similarly let $r_{\pm a}(h)$ be the largest integer ν such that $B_a.B_{-a}$ is divisible by z_h^ν. We have :

$$r_{\pm a}(h) = q.max\{\alpha_1 + \alpha_h, \alpha_k + \alpha_t\} - (p-1).$$

Now the equality $A_a.A_{-a} = A_{a'}.A_{-a'}$ implies that $r_{\pm a}(h) = r_{\pm a'}(h)$ and so we get the following equality.

$$\{\alpha_1 + \alpha_h, \alpha_k + \alpha_t\} = \{\alpha'_1 + \alpha'_h, \alpha'_k + \alpha'_t\}.$$

By an easy lemma in [M] (Lemma 6.3), we conclude that there exists an even permutation $\sigma \in A_4$ of order 2, such that $\alpha_i = \alpha'_{\sigma(i)}$. We first claim that $\sigma \neq 1$. This in fact follows from the linear independence of the rows, see Remark 6.1, which ensures that α_i and α'_i are not all equal. Furthermore, without loss of generality, we can assume that $\alpha_i = r_{1i}$ for all $i = 1,..,4$. That is, we may consider $(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_4)$ as the first row of the matrix A of the abelian covering . We set $a_i = \alpha_i$ instead of r_{1i} for simplicity. Now since α_i and α'_i are different by the above argument, we may again, without loss of generality, suppose that:

$\alpha'_1 = a_2$, $\alpha'_2 = a_1$

$\alpha'_3 = a_4$, $\alpha'_4 = a_3$

by our assumptions on a_i and a'_i, we have that

$$\sum [a_i]_N = \sum [a'_i]_N = 2N$$

Suppose that $[a_1]_N + [a_2]_N = [a_3]_N + [a_4]_N = N$, or in other words, $[a_2]_N = -[a_1]_N$ and $[a_4]_N = -[a_3]_N$ in $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. This means that the two rows $n = (a_1, .., a_4)$ and $n' = (a'_1, .., a'_4)$ are linearly dependent and this contradicts the irreducibility by Remark 6.1. So the above equality does not hold and we may assume that $a_1 + a_2 < N$ and $a_3 + a_4 > N$. Now consider the row vector

$$n + n' = (a_1, .., a_4) + (a'_1, .., a'_4) = (a_1 + a_2, a_1 + a_2, a_3 + a_4, a_3 + a_4)$$

Note that Remark 6.1 assures that $n + n' \neq \pm n$ and one can easily verify that this row vector also satisfies the conditions for a_i and a'_i (in fact $2([a_1 + a_2] + [a_3 + a_4]) = 2([(N-1)(a_1 + a_2)] + [(N-1)(a_3 + a_4)]) = 2N$) and so we may replace the second row $(a'_1, .., a'_4) = (a_2, a_1, a_4, a_3)$ by this row vector and the equality $A_n.A_{-n} = A_{n'}.A_{-n'}$ should hold for this row vector as n' and $(a_1, .., a_4)$ as n. We show that this is impossible. In fact, if this equality holds, it is easy to see that the left hand side must contain a monomial of the form $z_2^{\alpha} z_3^{\beta}$ and also a monomial of the form $z_1^{\gamma} z_4^{\delta}$. This means that $a_2 + a_3 = a_1 + a_4 = a_1 + a_3 = a_2 + a_4 = N$ which is exactly to say that $n = n' = (a_1, a_1, -a_1, -a_1)$. This is against our assumptions and this contradiction completes the proof. □

6.2 Families with large s

In this section we assume that the family has Galois group of the form $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, i.e., that the matrix A is a $2 \times s$ matrix.

In [M] Moonen uses the Dwork-Ogus obstruction also in order to prove that further examples of Shimura families of cyclic covers of $\mathbb{P}^1$ do not exist for $s > 4$. The core observation in his proof is then that for a minimal Shimura family not existing in his table, there exists two integers n and n' such that $d_n = d_{-n'} = 1$ and $d_{-n} = d_{-n'} = s - 3$. To deduce the existence of these two elements, he argues that for $n \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^*$, $d_n + d_{-n} = s - 2$. This point does not remain necessarily true for families of abelian coverings. A counterexample can be given by the family

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } N = 4.$$

For this family all of the eigenspaces are of type $(a, 0)$ (or $(0, a)$) or $(1, 1)$.

Let us explain our strategy for the rest of this section. Recall from construction 2.2.2 that there exists a Shimura subvariety S_f which contains the moduli variety Z of the family $f : Y \to T$. As we have already explained in 2.2.2, S_f is the smallest Shimura subvariety containing Z and if M is the generic Mumford-Tate group of the family such that $M_{\mathbb{R}}^{ad} = Q_1 \times ... \times Q_l$ is the decomposition into $\mathbb{R}$-simple factors, then $\dim S_f = \sum \delta(Q_i)$ with $\delta(Q_i)$ as described in 2.2.2. Therefore Z is a Shimura subvariety if and only if $\sum \delta(Q_i) = s - 3$. We are going to show that for large s the families we consider do not give rise to Shimura subvarieties in A_g. By the above, this is equivalent to $\dim S_f = \sum \delta(Q_i) > s - 3$. Consider connected monodromy group Mon^0 of this family (see 6.2.1 below). Assuming on the contrary that Z is a Shimura subvariety would imply that Mon^0 is a normal subgroup of M, see Remark 6.2.3. Therefore $Mon_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,ad} = \prod_{i \in K} Q_i$ for some $K \subseteq \{1, ..., l\}$. In Lemma 6.2.2 we compute the monodromy group $Mon^0(\mathcal{L}_i)$ of an eigenspace $\mathcal{L}_i$. Using this, we show that for large s there are eigenspaces $\mathcal{L}_{j_i}$ with non-isomorphic monodromy groups (and hence corresponding to distinct $Q_i = Mon_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,ad}(\mathcal{L}_{j_i})$ for $i \in K$ in the above decomposition of $Mon_{\mathbb{R}}^{0,ad}$) such that $\sum \delta(\mathcal{L}_{j_i}) > s - 3$, where

$\delta(\mathcal{L}_{j_i}) = \delta(Mon^{0,ad}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{L}_{j_i}))$. In particular, $\dim S_f > s-3$, a contradiction. See also Remark 6.2.4.

Now we state the necessary definitions and lemmas in order to achieve the above goals.

Definition 6.2.1. Let $f : Y \to T$ be a family of abelian Galois covers of $\mathbb{P}^1$ as constructed in section 1. Then the local system $\mathcal{L} = R^1 f_* \mathbb{C}$ gives rise to a polarized variation of Hodge structures (PVHS) of weight 1. consider the associated monodromy representation $\pi_1(T, x) \to GL(V)$, where V is the fiber of $\mathcal{L}$ at x (see for example [V], §3.1.1). The Zariski closure of the image of this morphism is called the *monodromy group* of $\mathcal{L}$. We denote the identity component of this group by $Mon^0(\mathcal{L})$. The PVHS decomposes according to the action of the abelian Galois group G and the eigenspaces $\mathcal{L}_i$ (or $\mathcal{L}_\chi$ where $i \in G$ corresponds to character $\chi \in \mu_G$ by Remark 2.1.1) are again variations of Hodge structures and we are mainly interested in these. Take a $t \in T$ and assume that $h^{1,0}((\mathcal{L}_i)_t) = a$ and $h^{0,1}((\mathcal{L}_i)_t) = b$. Since monodromy group respects the polarization of the Hodge structures ([R], 3.2.6), $(\mathcal{L}_i)_t$ is equipped with a Hermitian form of signature (a, b) (see [DM], 2.21 and 2.23). This implies that $Mon^0(\mathcal{L}_i) \subseteq U(a, b)$. In this case, we say that $\mathcal{L}_i$ is *of type* (a, b). Two eigenspaces $\mathcal{L}_i$ and $\mathcal{L}_j$ of types (a, b) and (a', b') are said to be *of distinct types* if $\{a, b\} \neq \{a', b'\}$.

The above observations are key to our further analysis. Let us first prove a lemma which is an extension of [M], Prop 4.7 to the setting of abelian covers.

Lemma 6.2.2. Let $\mathcal{L}_i$ be an eigenspace as discussed above of type (a, b) with $ab \neq 0$. Then $Mon^0(\mathcal{L}_i) = SU(a, b)$ unless when $|G| = 2l$ is even and i is of order 2 in G, in which case there is a surjection from $Mon^0(\mathcal{L}_i)$ to $SU(n, n) = Sp_{2n}$, where $n = d_i$.

Proof. Let $t \in T$ and let $\chi \in Hom(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$ be the character corresponding to i. Consider the cover $f_{\chi,t} : Y_{\chi,t} \to \mathbb{P}^1$ with group $\chi(G)$ branched above the points z_j with local monodromy $\chi(\phi(\gamma_j))$ about z_j, where ϕ is the surjection in Remark 2.1. Note that $\chi(G)$ is a cyclic group and so $f_{\chi,t}$ is in fact a cyclic cover with group $\chi(G)$. Varying $t \in T$, we get a family of cyclic covers of $\mathbb{P}^1$. The eigenspace $\mathcal{L}_i$ is exactly the eigenspace corresponding to this family (or in other words, it is the $\mathcal{L}_1$ of this family of cyclic covers). Unless when $|G| = 2l$ is even and i is of order 2 in G, Theorem 5.1.1 of [R] applies and we get that $Mon^0(\mathcal{L}_i) = SU(a, b)$. If $|G| = 2l$ is even and i is of order 2 in G by taking quotient of the family $f_{\chi,t}$, we obtain a family of hyperelliptic curves of the form $w^2 = (z - z_1)...(z - z_{2n+2})$. Note that in this case it follows from the formulas of Proposition 2.2.3 that there are $2n + 2$ odd powers in the equation of $f_{\chi,t}$ for $n = d_i$. Now it is well-known that Mon^0 of a versal family of hyperelliptic curves is the full symplectic group and so the proof is complete. $\square$

Remark 6.2.3. If the family $f : Y \to T$ gives rise to a Shimura subvariety in A_g then the connected monodromy group Mon^0 is a normal subgroup of the generic Mumford-Tate group M. In fact in this case $Mon^0 = M^{der}$, see for example [M1] or [R]. Consequently, if $M^{ad}_{\mathbb{R}} = \prod_1^l Q_i$ as a product of simple Lie groups then there exists a subset $K \subseteq \{1, ..., l\}$

such that $Mon^{0,ad}_{\mathbb{R}} = \prod_{i\in K} Q_i$.

Remark 6.2.4. Assume that $Y \to T$ is a family of curves and let M be the generic Mumford-Tate group of this family. Recall from construction 2.2.2, that there is a natural Shimura variety $S_f = Sh(M, Y)$ associated to M (which is a reductive group) and the dimension of S_f only depends on $M^{ad}_{\mathbb{R}}$. The Shimura datum comes from the Hodge structures of the fibers in the family. This Shimura variety is the smallest Shimura subvariety in A_g which contains Z. Our purpose is to show that for families of abelian covers with a large s, the moduli variety Z is not a Shimura subvariety. This is equivalent to $\sum \delta(Q_i) > s - 3$. Here $\delta(Q_i)$ is as in construction 2.2.2. Lemma 6.2.2 enables us to compute the connected algebraic monodromy group $Mon^0(\mathcal{L}_i)$ of an eigenspace $\mathcal{L}_i$. Suppose that Z is a Shimura subvariety and hence $\sum \delta(Q_i) = s - 3$. We show that this assumption leads to a contradiction. According to Remark 6.2.3 above, if $M^{ad}_{\mathbb{R}} = \prod_1^l Q_i$ is the decomposition into $\mathbb{R}$-simple factors, then $Mon^{0,ad}_{\mathbb{R}} = \prod_{i\in K} Q_i$ for some subset $K \subseteq \{1, ..., l\}$. We need to find eigenspaces $\mathcal{L}_{j_i}$ of distinct types $\{a_i, b_i\}$ for $i \in K$ with a_i and b_i large enough in the sense described below. Concretely, if we can find eigenspaces $\mathcal{L}_{j_i}$ as above, then these eigenspaces, being of different types $\{a_i, b_i\}$, give rise to non-isomorphic $Q_i = Mon^{0,ad}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{L}_{j_i}) = PSU(a_i, b_i)$ for $i \in K$ in the above decomposition of $Mon^{0,ad}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and if for $\delta(\mathcal{L}_{j_i}) = \delta(Mon^{0,ad}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{L}_{j_i}))$, we have that $\sum \delta(\mathcal{L}_{j_i}) > s - 3$ (this is what we mean by *large enough* in the above, note that $\delta(\mathcal{L}_{j_i})$ depends in our examples only on a_i and b_i, see construction 2.2.2), then $\dim S_f \geq \sum \delta(\mathcal{L}_{j_i}) > s - 3$.

Remark 6.2.5. An important observation is that if in the family one row, say the first row, does not have any 0 entry then either the family of cyclic coverings arising from this row is a Shimura family (of cyclic covers) or the whole family (of abelian covers) will not be a Shimura family. This is due to the fact that if this family of cyclic covers is not a Shimura family then by the above notations and observations there are $\mathbb{R}$-simple factors Q_i in the decomposition of $M^{ad}_{1,\mathbb{R}}$ such that $\sum \delta(Q_i) > s-3$. Here M_1 is the generic Mumford-Tate group associated to this family of cyclic covers. As this is a sub-Hodge structure, we know from [VZ2] that M_1 is a quotient of M, the generic Mumford-Tate group of our family of abelian covers, and therefore the factors Q_i also occur in the decomposition of M^{ad} (note that M^{ad} is a semi-simple group with trivial center) and so $\dim S_f \geq \sum \delta(Q_i) > s-3$, i.e., the family is not a Shimura family. On the other hand, by results of [M] or [R], if a family of cyclic covers of $\mathbb{P}^1$ gives rise to a Shimura subvariety, then $s \leq 6$. Therefore, if s is large, then the candidates for Shimura families are those which contain zeros in each row. The following theorem is meant to exclude these families.

Theorem 6.2.6. Suppose $\sum a_i > 2N$ and $\sum(-a_i) = (\sum[-a_i]_N) > 2N$ and similarly $\sum b_i > 2N$ and $\sum(-b_i) > 2N$. For $s > 19$ the family

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1l} & a_{1l+1} & \cdots & a_{1r} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & b_{1l+1} & \cdots & b_{1r} & b_{1r+1} & \cdots & b_s \end{pmatrix}$$

does not give rise to a Shimura subvariety in A_g.

Proof. We proceed according to Remark 6.2.4, i.e., by showing that there are eigenspaces $\mathcal{L}_i$ of distinct types such that $\sum \delta(\mathcal{L}_i) > s-3$, with $\delta(\mathcal{L}_i)$ as in 6.2.4 and 2.2.2.
Assume that the eigenspaces $\mathcal{L}_{(1,0)}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{(0,1)}$ are respectively of types $(k_1, r-k_1-2)$ and $(k_2, s-l-k_2-2)$ for some positive integers k_1 and k_2 . If these two types are different (see Definition 6.2.1), we will have:

$$\dim S_f \geq k_1(r-k_1-2) + k_2(s-l-k_2-2) \geq 2(r-4) + 2(s-l-4)$$

Now for $s \geq 19$, one sees that $2(r-4)+2(s-l-4) > s-3$ and hence $\dim S_f > s-3$. It remains to treat the case where the two types are the same which implies that $s = r+l$. We have:

$$\dim S_f \geq k_2(s-l-k_2-2) \geq 2(s-l-4)$$

The right hand side is strictly greater than $s-3$ if and only if $r-l > 5$. We may therefore assume that $r-l \leq 5$. Note that in any case, $2(s-l-4) \geq s-l-3$. We have:

$$t(-1,1) = \sum_1^l (-a_i) + \sum_{l+1}^r (b_j - a_j) + \sum_r^s b_k \geq (l-1)N.$$

That is, for the eigenspace $\mathcal{L}_{(-1,1)}$ we have that $t(-1,1) \geq l-1$ and consequently $d_{(-1,1)} \geq l-2$. Similarly one sees that $d_{(1,-1)} \geq l-2$. Note that the eigenspaces $\mathcal{L}_{(-1,1)}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{(1,0)}$ (or equivalently $\mathcal{L}_{(0,1)}$) are of distinct types, for otherwise we will have $k_2 \geq l-2$ and $s-l-k_2-2 \geq l-2$. The first inequality says that $k_2 > 5$ (because $s > 19$ and hence $l > 7$) and the second one implies that $k_2 \leq s-2l \leq 5$. This contradiction shows that we have an eigenspace of a new type. Now since $d_{(-1,1)}d_{(1,-1)} \geq (l-2)^2 > l$, we conclude that:

$$\dim S_f \geq k_2(s-l-k_2-2) + d_{(-1,1)}d_{(1,-1)} > (s-l-3) + l = s-3$$

and the claim follows. □

References

[B] I. Bouw, *The p-rank of ramified covers of curves.* Compositio Math. 126 (2001), 295-322.

[C] R. Coleman, *Torsion points on curves*. In: Galois representations and arithmetic algebraic geometry; pp. 235-247. (Y. Ihara, ed.) Adv. Studies Pure Math. 12, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.

[CW] C. Chevalley, A. Weil, *Über das Verhalten der Integrale 1. Gattung bei Automorphismen des Funktionenkörpers*. Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hambg. 10 (1934), 358-261.

[DM] P. Deligne , G. Mostow, *Monodromy of hypergeometric functions and non-lattice integral monodromy.* Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 63 (1986), 5-89.

[DN] J. de Jong, R. Noot, *Jacobians with complex multiplication.* In: Arithmetic algebraic geometry (Texel, 1989), Progr. Math. 89, Birkhäuser, 1991, 177-192.

[DO] B. Dwork, A. Ogus, *Canonical liftings of jacobians*. Compositio Math. 58 (1986), 111-131.

[EV] H. Esnault, E. Viehweg, *Lectures on Vanishing Theorems.* DMV Seminar, Band 20, Birkhäuser 1992.

[H] S. Helgason, *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*. Pure and Applied Mathematics 80, Academic Press, Inc., New York-London, 1978.

[K1] N. Katz, *Algebraic solutions of differential equations*. Inventions math 18, 1-118. (1972)

[K2] N. Katz, *Nilpotent connections and the monodromy theorem: applications of a result of Turrittin.* Publ. Math. I.E.H.S. 39 (1971) 175-232.

[LZ] X. Lu, K. Zuo, *On Shimura curves in the Torelli locus of curves.* 2013, arXiv:math.AG/1311.5858.

[M] B. Moonen, *Special subavarieties arising from families of cyclic covers of the projective line*. Documenta Mathematica 15 (2010) 793-819.

[M1] B. Moonen, *Linearty properties of Shimura varieties I.* J. Alg. Geom. 7 (1998) 539-567.

[MO] B. Moonen, F. Oort, *The Torelli locus and special subvarieties*. 2010, preprint. Submitted to the Handbook of moduli (G.Farkas, I.Morrisson, eds).

[MVZ] M. Möller, E. Viehweg, K. Zuo, *Special families of curves, of abelian varieties, and of certain minimal manifolds over curves.* In: Global aspects of complex geometry; pp. 417-450. (F. Catanese et al., eds.) Springer, Berlin, 2006.

[N1] R. Noot, *Hodge classes, Tate classes and local moduli of abelian varieties.* PhD thesis, University of Utrecht, 1992.

[N2] R. Noot, *On Mumford's families of abelian varieties.* J. Pure Appl. Algebra 157 (2001), 87-106.

[O] F. Oort, *Canonical liftings and dense sets of CM-points.* In: Arithmetic Geometry; pp. 228-234. (F. Catanese, ed.) Symposia Mathematica 37, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.

[OS] F. Oort, J. Steenbrink, *The local Torelli problem for algebraic curves.* In: Journées de Géometrie Algébrique d'Angres, Sijthoff Noordhoff, 1980, 157-204.

[P1] R. Pardini, *Abelian covers of algebraic varieties.* J. reine angew. Math., 417 (1991), 191-213.

[P2] R. Pardini, *On the period map for abelian covers of projective varieties.* Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa - Classe di Scienze 26.4 (1998): 719-735.

[R] J. Rohde, *Cyclic coverings, Calabi-Yau manifolds and complex multiplication.* Lecture Notes in Math. 1975, Springer, 2009.

[S] G. Shimura, *On purely transcendental fields of automorphic functions.* Ann. of Math. (2) 78 (1963), 149-192.

[V] C. Voisin, *Hodge theory and complex algebraic geometry I, II,* Cambridge Studies in Advanced Math. 76, 77, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002 and 2003.

[Vö] H. Völklein, *Groups as Galois Groups: An introduction.* Cambridge studies in Advanced Mathematics 53, Cambridge University Press (1996) Cambridge.

[VZ1] E. Viehweg, K. Zuo, *A characterization of certain Shimura curves in the moduli stack of abelian varieties.* J. Diff Geom. 66 (2004), 233-287.

[VZ2] E. Viehweg, K. Zuo, *Complex multiplication, Griffiths-Yukawa couplings, and rigidity for families of hypersurfaces.* J. Alg. Geom. 14 (2005) 481-528.

[W] A. Wright, *Shwarz triangle mappings and Teichmüller curves: abelian square-tiled surfaces*, J. Mod. Dyn. 6 (2012) 405 - 426.

Johannes Gutenberg-Universitt Institut fr Mathematik Staudingerweg 9 55099 Mainz, Germany.

E-mail address: `mohajer@uni-mainz.de`

E-mail address: `zuok@uni-mainz.de`